

# NORDTEK Pre-meeting 2017

Meeting, Saturday 15th of April 2017 at 9:00

Participants for the meeting:

*Peter Göransson, Per Breum, Dzenita Hasic, Rosa Väisänen, Marta Megne, Indra Petersone, Jesper Carlsen\*, Juris Rullis\*, Kaspars Abelnica\**

\* external guests

## 1) Student presentation

Engineering students as game changers in the society

Find a suitable speaker - **It will be Dzenita, Marta and Rosa**

Someone from inside should explain about the game changing facts of the future engineers.

The responsibilities of the students are in this presentation and as well in a presentation for the contact persons and the rectors meeting.

## 2) Student meeting this year

*“Exchange programme to promote the network.”*

Purpose of the meeting: Recommendation output to universities from collected students

Why should people care about NORDTEK?

There are two agreements:

Erasmus agreement

NORDTEK agreement

Future engineers

Meta used to be environmental-thinking courses for engineers

Now it is entrepreneur focused

Issues: Both types of courses are not math heavy

Two-three topics for the student meeting

Exchange program for promotion of network

Entrepreneurship NORDTEK

Student network



### **3) NORDTEK Exchange VS Erasmus Exchange**

Economically the Erasmus programme is beneficial over the NORDTEK programme. The programs are tailored in the NORDTEK programme, and the contact persons are fixed in the universities.

It is generally easier to match courses and get a full package.

It is hard to find sharp benefits over the Erasmus programme, but generally it should be promoted as an opportunity instead of a benefit over another programme.

### **4) Knowledge transfer workshop**

Workshop carried out by ESN Latvia's representatives.

The outcome will be attached to the agenda in appendice, and is provided with the notes of Juris Rullis.

### **5) Evaluation of pre-meeting**

Dzenita:

For next years pre-meeting, it would be good to discuss an evaluation of the previous years conference, and discuss with the current state of the planning of the current years conference.

Marta:

After the student meeting there should be a written evaluation, which includes all student participants.

Dzenita:

Would be great if the students could all live here in the accomodation of the dormitories.

Dzenita:

External persons were a good thing and the workshops were great. It develops the organization and our soft skills.

Marta:

There was not a lot of new or useful information about the ESN presentation, but they did well as moderators for the workshop. The external speakers could be chosen more carefully. Maybe an employer/business person would be preferable to invite.

Marta:

The planning of the pre-meeting was not clear who was responsible of what things.

Per:



So we agree that the host will be planning the pre meeting future wise. And a guide will be provided for the different responsibilities, e.g. agenda and accommodations.

Wishes and likes by all:

I wish we could have more activities

The flow was good and results was good.

I like how active people are and participating actively

I wish we had more time together.

I like the meeting was in one day. Only one day to focus on.

I wish more specific/clear agenda. Update from last years conference and other important things.

I like workshops from external moderator

